Citation: Cellular Therapy and Transplantation, Vol. 2, No. 6, 2010 10.3205/ctt-2010-en-000057.01

© The Author. This article is provided under the following license: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/de/deed.en

Submitted: 5 February 2010, accepted: 28 February 2010, published: 21 July 2010

Therapy of acute graft-versus-host disease

Malte von Bonin, Martin Wermke, Uwe Platzbecker, Jörgen Radke, Nona Shayegi, Susanne Gretzinger, Konrad Heuchel, Kristina Hölig, Marc Schmitz, Gerhard Ehninger, Johannes Schetelig, and Martin Bornhäuser

Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik I, University Hospital, Dresden, Germany

Correspondence: Martin Bornhäuser, MD, Department of Hematology, University Hospital Dresden, Fetscherstrasse 74, 01307 Dresden, Germany; Phone: +49-3514584190, Fax: +493514585362, E-mail: martin.bornhaeuser@uniklinikum-dresden.de

Abstract

Primary therapy of acute GvHD grade II-IV is still based on the systemic application of corticosteroids at doses of 1-2 mg/kg (e.g. prednisolone). Typically, investigators combine this approach with therapeutic doses of calcineurin inhibitors, which are used as prophylactic regimens. Patients not responding to steroids within 5-7 days or those with progressive disease within 72 hours represent a high-risk population that requires further immunosuppressive escalation. Pharmacological second-line therapy is mainly based on centre policies and individual decisions since no strategy has been associated with an improvement in survival within a controlled prospective trial. Compounds with efficacy in phase II trials are mycophenolate mofetil, methotrexate, pentostatin, mTOR inhibitors, antibodies targeting TNFalpha or IL-2 pathways, and monoclonal or polyclonal anti-T cell antibodies. Non-pharmacological options include extracorporeal photopheresis and the infusion of allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells. For most interventions, earlier treatment (e.g., within two weeks) is associated with a better outcome. However, the overall efficacy and toxicity of most approaches are unsatisfactory. Future developments include the use of regulatory T cells and more targeted approaches using small molecules interacting with specific signalling pathways of antigen-presenting and effector cells.

Keywords: acute graft-versus-host disease, refractory, salvage therapy, toxicity, tolerance

Introduction

Acute graft-versus-host disease (aGvHD) is one of the major complications following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (aHSCT). The success of aHSCT relies on how well transplant-related morbidity and mortality can be controlled while preserving the graft-versus-leukemia (GvL) effect essential to prevent relapse. It is important to understand the underlying mechanisms and effectors of aGvHD in order to prevent this complication.

According to Billingham's Harvey lecture in 1966 there are three requirements for aGvHD to develop. "First, the graft must contain a sufficient number of immunologically competent cells. Second, the host must possess important transplantation alloantigens that are lacking in the donor graft, so that the host appears foreign to the graft, and is, therefore, capable of stimulating it in an antigen dependent manner. Third, the host itself must be incapable of mounting an effective immunological reaction against the graft,

at least for sufficient time for the latter to manifest its immunological capabilities; that is, it must have the security to tenure." for review see [9].

The incidence of aGvHD ranges from 40% to 80% and depends on known risk factors like age, donor relationship, HLA-match, sex, graft source, and type of immunosuppressive prophylaxis. The mortality associated with acute GvHD can be directly correlated with the clinical grade at the time of manifestation and the initial response to steroid therapy [6,28]. In addition, the time of occurrence and the grading at given time-points after transplantation seems to be relevant for the prognosis of an individual patient [19].

Primary therapy

The three organs most affected by aGvHD are the skin, gastrointestinal tract, and liver. Typically, either > grade 1 skin involvement or visceral manifestations are observed before therapy is instituted. The restrictive use of steroids is mainly due to the morbidity and complications associated with high dose steroid therapy in patients who have undergone serial chemotherapy and conditioning therapy. An alternative approach would be to use lower doses of steroids earlier in the course of the disease.

In a recent retrospective analysis, Mielcarek and coworkers compared the outcome of patients with acute GvHD grade I–IV receiving either 1 or 2 mg/kg prednisolone [22]. The cumulative dose of steroids applied in the latter group was significantly higher compared to patients starting at lower doses, and this was associated with a higher incidence of invasive fungal infections and a prolonged hospital stay. The authors conclude that patients with grade I–II acute GvHD may only require 1 mg/kg prednisolone and do not benefit from higher doses. Most investigators try to achieve higher trough-blood levels of the concomitantly applied calcineurin inhibitors (e.g., Cyclosporine or Tacrolimus), which in most cases of acute GvHD are still part of the patients' medication.

Salvage therapy

Definition

In most clinical trials steroid-refractory acute GvHD is defined as no improvement of symptoms after 5–7 days or progressive disease within 72 hours after the start of therapy.

These patients should receive second line therapy, ideally within a clinical trial. As there are not many pharmaceutical companies which are willing to sponsor clinical studies and academic institutions are paralyzed by current legislation, the majority of patients can not be included in such trials. Therefore the current table provides a number of compounds tested in prospective phase I/II trials with the respective response rates observed. Only very few prospective controlled clinical protocols have been performed for this indication and have not been able to demonstrate an advantage in overall survival.

Agent	N	Response rate	Citation
Antithymocyte globulin	47	54%	(MacMillan et al., 2002)
Mycophenolate mofetil	17	65%	(Basara et al., 1998)
Pentostatin	23	74%	(Bolanos-Meade et al., 2005)
Etanercept	13	46%	(Busca et al., 2007)
Denileukin difitox	30	71%	(Ho et al., 2004)
Basiliximab	23	83%	(Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2005)
Daclizumab	43	51%	(Przepiorka et al., 2000)
Infliximab	32	59%	(Patriarca et al., 2004)
Visilizumab	44	32%	(Carpenter et al., 2005)
Orthoclone	43	69%	(Knop et al., 2005)
MabCampath	16	50%	(Gomez-Almaguer et al., 2008)
Sirolimus	21	55%	(Benito et al., 2001)

Prospective controlled trials

Only very few of the aforementioned agents have been tested in randomized controlled trials. In first line therapy, daclizumab, an antibody competitively blocking the IL-2 receptor, when combined with steroids was prospectively compared with steroids alone [18]. Despite the encouraging phase II trials in steroid refractory disease, the combined use of this anti-CD25 antibody and steroids was associated with an inferior survival compared to the control arm. Similarly, anti-CD147 therapy compared with antithymocyte globulin (ATG) has lead to a non-significant survival disadvantage [20]. An European trial investigating the use of a murine anti-CD3 antibody (Orthoclone) for which production will be discontinued in 2010 has suggested a non-significant advantage for the combination of high-dose steroids (HDS) combined with orthoclone compared to HDS alone [15]. The lack of support by pharmaceutical companies and the difficulties with trial design and end-points in steroid refractory GvHD have currently abrogated most efforts in this area of clinical research.

Current strategies inaugurated by multi-institutional trial networks include randomized phase II trials with several arms which are designed to identify differences in survival early with the aim of switching to a phase III part of the trial thereafter. One recent example is the study published by Alousi et al which suggests an advantage for the combination of MMF with steroids [1]. Further follow-up is needed to confirm these findings.

Non-pharmacological interventions

Extracorporeal photopheresis

Very exciting results have been recently published for the effectiveness of extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) by Greinix and coworkers. They have clearly demonstrated that interesting response rates can be achieved by the early use of ECP with minimal toxicity and the possibility of reduce steroid therapy earlier [12]. Current efforts have to confirm this single-institution experience in a prospective multicentre trial.

Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC)

MSC were described two decades ago by Friedenstein [10]. Since

that time their potential for regenerative therapies has been the focus of many research groups. In the recent past, their immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory activity has been addressed and confirmed in-vitro and in-vivo. Katarina Le Blanc and coworkers described the first successful therapeutic use of donor-derived MSC in steroid-refractory GvHD [16]. Since then, several investigators have confirmed the potential use of MSC in patients with advanced GvHD [17]. The different cellular preparations and application protocols so far do not allow firm conclusions on the efficacy of MSC therapy in various settings to be drawn. Prospective randomized trials

are currently under-way and will shed more light on this cellular therapeutic approach. One advantage over most alternative pharmacological strategies is that MSC infusion is not associated with acute and mid-term side effects of an increased rate of infectious complications.

Regulator T cells (Tregs)

Since the pivotal studies of Edinger and coworkers demonstrating the efficacy of the prophylactic infusion of donor-derived CD4+/CD25++/Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in a murine models [8], several translational research activities have tried to prepare the first human trials using donor or patient-derived regulatory T cells. Most intriguing are the findings of the preclinical studies in which the anti-leukemic efficacy of adoptively transferred donor effector cells (GvL reactions) were not suppressed by the co-infusion of Tregs. The current challenge is to develop clinicalgrade strategies to generate a sufficient amount of pure Tregs for repetitive infusions. In-vitro selection and expansion protocols have been described and need further refinement to avoid the application of activated CD25+ T cells. Recent case reports and preliminary clinical data suggest that beside their prophylactic use, the therapeutic efficacy of Tregs may be expected in certain clinical situations [23]. Current clinical protocols have additionally focussed on the use of donor Tregs to allow infusion of conventional T cells ameliorating immune reconstitution in the haploidentical setting without the induction of GvHD. Dose-finding and feasibility studies are needed in order to develop Tregs into an additionalas therapeutic tool in high-risk patients with acute GvHD.

Supportive care

Many of the intensified immunosuppressive regimens described above leave the patient at an increased risk for opportunistic infections. Beside the use of pre-emptive antiviral therapy to control CMV reactivation occurring during the course of refractory GvHD, invasive fungal infections are the major threat for patients with GvHD undergoing intensified and prolonged immunosuppressive therapy. The development of new antifungal agents have definitively helped to ameliorate the perspectives for patients with acute GvHD. Current recommendations favour the use of prophylactic antifungal medication in patients receiving > 1-2 mg of systemic steroids. A prospective randomized trial of posaconazole vs. fluconazole suggested that compounds effective against aspergillus species are especially warranted in this indication [27].

Perspectives

Since the outcome of patients with acute GvHD not responding to primary therapy with steroids still remains unsatisfactory, future strategies will have to focus on the following questions.

- · How can we predict the individual risk for the occurrence of GVHD and the chance for responding to steroids by using genetic screening methods besides the standard high-resolution HLA typing methods (e.g., Cytokine gene polymorphisms)?
- What is the optimum pharmacological or cellular therapy approach for each patient?
- Which strategies can be applied with synergy?
- Can new molecularly-defined approaches using small molecules that specifically target signalling pathways involved in the pathophysiology be implemented with less off-target toxicities?

References

- 1. Alousi AM, Weisdorf DJ, Logan BR, Bolanos-Meade J, Carter S, Difronzo N, Pasquini M, Goldstein SC, Ho VT, Hayes-Lattin B, Wingard JR, Horowitz MM, Levine JE. Etanercept, mycophenolate, denileukin, or pentostatin plus corticosteroids for acute graft-versus-host disease: a randomized phase 2 trial from the Blood and Marrow Transplant Clinical Trials Network. Blood. 2009;114:511-517. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2009-03-212290.
- 2. Basara N, Blau WI, Romer E, Rudolphi M, Bischoff M, Kirsten D, Sanchez H, Gunzelmann S, Fauser AA. Mycophenolate mofetil for the treatment of acute and chronic GVHD in bone marrow transplant patients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1998;22:61-65. pmid: 9678797.
- 3. Benito AI, Furlong T, Martin PJ, Anasetti C, Appelbaum FR, Doney K, Nash RA, Papayannopoulou T, Storb R, Sullivan KM, Witherspoon R, Deeg HJ. Sirolimus (rapamycin) for the treatment of steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. Transplantation. 2001;72:1924-1929. pmid: 11773890.
- 4. Bolanos-Meade J, Jacobsohn DA, Margolis J, Ogden A, Wientjes MG, Byrd JC, Lucas DM, Anders V, Phelps M, Grever MR, Vogelsang GB. Pentostatin in steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:2661-2668. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.06.130.
- 5. Busca A, Locatelli F, Marmont F, Ceretto C, Falda M. Recombinant human soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor fusion protein as treatment for steroid refractory graft-versus-host disease following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Am. J Hematol. 2007;82:45-52. doi: 10.1002/ajh.20752.
- 6. Cahn JY, Klein JP, Lee SJ, Milpied N, Blaise D, Antin JH, Leblond V, Ifrah N, Jouet JP, Loberiza F, Ringden O, Barrett AJ, Horowitz MM, Socie G. Prospective evaluation of 2 acute graft-versus-host (GVHD) grading systems: a joint Societe Française de Greffe de Moelle et Therapie Cellulaire (SFGM-TC), Dana Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI), and International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry (IBMTR) prospective study. Blood. 2005;106:1495-1500. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-11-4557.
- 7. Carpenter PA, Lowder J, Johnston L, Frangoul H, Khoury H, Parker P, Jerome KR, McCune JS, Storer B, Martin P, Appelbaum F, Abonour R, Westervelt P, Anasetti C. A phase II multicenter study of visilizumab, humanized anti-CD3 antibody, to treat steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2005;11:465-471. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2005.03.002.
- 8. Edinger M, Hoffmann P, Ermann J, Drago K, Fathman CG, Strober S, Negrin RS. CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells preserve graft-versus-tumor activity while inhibiting graft-versus-host disease after bone marrow transplantation. Nat. Med. 2003;9:1144-1150. doi: 10.1038/nm915.
- 9. Ferrara JL, Levy R, Chao NJ. Pathophysiologic mechanisms of acute graft-vs.-host disease. Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant. 1999;5:347-356.
- 10. Friedenstein AJ. Osteogenic stem cells in the bone marrow. Bone and Mineral Research. 1990;7:243-272.
- 11. Gomez-Almaguer D, Ruiz-Arguelles GJ, del CT-A, Gonzalez-Llano O, Gutierrez-Aguirre H, Cantu-Rodriguez O, Jaime-Perez J, Carrasco-Yalan A, Giralt S. Alemtuzumab for the treatment of steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2008;14:10-15.
- 12. Greinix HT, Knobler RM, Worel N, Schneider B, Schneeberger A, Hoecker P, Mitterbauer M, Rabitsch W, Schulenburg A, Kalhs P. The effect of intensified extracorporeal photochemotherapy on long-term survival in patients with severe acute graft-versus-host disease. Haematologica. 2006;91:405-408.
- 13. Ho VT, Zahrieh D, Hochberg E, Micale E, Levin J, Reynolds C, Stekkel S, Cutler C, Fisher DC, Lee SJ, Alyea EP, Ritz J, Soiffer RJ, Antin JH. Safety and efficacy of denileukin diftitox in patients with steroid-

- refractory acute graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2004;104:1224-1226. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2004-01-0028.
- 14. Knop S, Hebart H, Gratwohl A, Kliem C, Faul C, Holler E, Apperley J, Kolb HJ, Schaefer A, Niederwieser D, Einsele H. Treatment of steroidresistant acute GVHD with OKT3 and high-dose steroids results in better disease control and lower incidence of infectious complications when compared to high-dose steroids alone: a randomized multicenter trial by the EBMT Chronic Leukemia Working Party. Leukemia. 2007;21:1830-1833. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404731.
- 15. Knop S, Hebart H, Gscheidle H, Holler E, Kolb HJ, Niederwieser D, Einsele H. OKT3 muromonab as second-line and subsequent treatment in recipients of stem cell allografts with steroid-resistant acute graftversus-host disease. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2005;36:831-837. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1705132.
- 16. Le Blanc K, Rasmusson I, Sundberg B, Gotherstrom C, Hassan M, Uzunel M, Ringden O. Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with third party haploidentical mesenchymal stem cells. Lancet. 2004;363:1439-1441. pmid: 15121408.
- 17. Le BK, Frassoni F, Ball L, Locatelli F, Roelofs H, Lewis I, Lanino E, Sundberg B, Bernardo ME, Remberger M, Dini G, Egeler RM, Bacigalupo A, Fibbe W, Ringden O. Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of steroid-resistant, severe, acute graft-versus-host disease: a phase II study. Lancet. 2008;371:1579-1586.
- 18. Lee SJ, Zahrieh D, Agura E, MacMillan ML, Maziarz RT, Mc-Carthy PL, Jr., Ho VT, Cutler C, Alyea EP, Antin JH, Soiffer RJ. Effect of up-front daclizumab when combined with steroids for the treatment of acute graft-versus-host disease: results of a randomized trial. Blood. 2004;104:1559-1564. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-03-0854.
- 19. Leisenring WM, Martin PJ, Petersdorf EW, Regan AE, Aboulhosn N, Stern JM, Aker SN, Salazar RC, McDonald GB. An acute graft-versus-host disease activity index to predict survival after hematopoietic cell transplantation with myeloablative conditioning regimens. Blood. 2006;108:749-755. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-01-0254.
- 20. MacMillan ML, Couriel D, Weisdorf DJ, Schwab G, Havrilla N, Fleming TR, Huang S, Roskos L, Slavin S, Shadduck RK, DiPersio J, Territo M, Pavletic S, Linker C, Heslop HE, Joachim DH, Blazar BR. A phase 2/3 multicenter randomized clinical trial of ABX-CBL versus ATG as secondary therapy for steroid-resistant acute graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2007;109:2657-2662. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-08-013995.

- 21. MacMillan ML, Weisdorf DJ, Davies SM, DeFor TE, Burns LJ, Ramsay NK, Wagner JE, Blazar BR. Early antithymocyte globulin therapy improves survival in patients with steroid-resistant acute graft-versushost disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2002;8:40-46.
- 22. Mielcarek M, Storer BE, Boeckh M, Carpenter PA, McDonald GB, Deeg HJ, Nash RA, Flowers ME, Doney K, Lee S, Marr KA, Furlong T, Storb R, Appelbaum FR, Martin PJ. Initial therapy of acute graft-versushost disease with low-dose prednisone does not compromise patient outcomes. Blood. 2009;113:2888-2894. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-07-168401.
- 23. Paczesny S, Choi SW, Ferrara JL. Acute graft-versus-host disease: new treatment strategies. Curr. Opin. Hematol. 2009;16:427-436. pmid: 19812490.
- 24. Patriarca F, Sperotto A, Damiani D, Morreale G, Bonifazi F, Olivieri A, Ciceri F, Milone G, Cesaro S, Bandini G, Dini G, Corradini P, Fanin R. Infliximab treatment for steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. Haematologica. 2004;89:1352-1359.
- 25. Przepiorka D, Kernan NA, Ippoliti C, Papadopoulos EB, Giralt S, Khouri I, Lu JG, Gajewski J, Durett A, Cleary K, Champlin R, Andersson BS, Light S. Daclizumab, a humanized anti-interleukin-2 receptor alpha chain antibody, for treatment of acute graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2000;95:83-89.
- 26. Schmidt-Hieber M, Fietz T, Knauf W, Uharek L, Hopfenmuller W, Thiel E, Blau IW. Efficacy of the interleukin-2 receptor antagonist basiliximab in steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. Br. J Haematol. 2005;130:568-574. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005.05631.x.
- 27. Ullmann AJ, Lipton JH, Vesole DH, Chandrasekar P, Langston A, Tarantolo SR, Greinix H, Morais dA, Reddy V, Boparai N, Pedicone L, Patino H, Durrant S. Posaconazole or fluconazole for prophylaxis in severe graft-versus-host disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2007;356:335-347. pmid: 17251530.
- 28. Van Lint MT, Milone G, Leotta S, Uderzo C, Scime R, Dallorso S, Locasciulli A, Guidi S, Mordini N, Sica S, Cudillo L, Fagioli F, Selleri C, Bruno B, Arcese W, Bacigalupo A. Treatment of acute graftversus-host disease with prednisolone: significant survival advantage for day +5 responders and no advantage for nonresponders receiving anti-thymocyte globulin. Blood. 2006;107:4177-4181. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2005-12-4851.
- © The Author. This article is provided under the following license: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/de/deed.en